

"HDFC AMC Conference Call"

October 25, 2019







ANALYST: MR. PIRAN ENGINEER - MOTILAL OSWAL

FINANCIAL SERVICES LIMITED

MANAGEMENT: Mr. MILIND BARVE - MANAGING DIRECTOR -

HDFC AMC

Mr. Piyush Surana – Chief Financial Officer

- HDFC AMC

MR. SIMAL KANUGA – CHIEF INVESTOR RELATIONS

OFFICER - HDFC AMC



Moderator:

Ladies and gentlemen, good day and welcome to the HDFC AMC Conference Call hosted by Motilal Oswal Financial Services Limited. As a reminder, all participant lines will be in the listen-only mode and there will be an opportunity for you to ask questions after the presentation concludes. Should you need assistance during the conference, please signal an operator by pressing "*" then "0" on your touchtone phone. Please note that this conference is being recorded. I now hand the conference over to Mr. Piran Engineer from Motilal Oswal. Thank you, and over to you Sir!

Piran Engineer:

Thanks. Good evening everyone and welcome to earnings call of HDFC Asset Management. With us, we have on the concall, the senior management team comprising Mr. Milind Barve, Managing Director, Mr. Piyush Surana, CFO, and Mr. Simal Kanuga, Chief Investor Relations Officer. I now hand over the call to Mr. Kanuga for the opening comments post which we can have the Q&A. Over to you Sir!

Simal Kanuga:

Good evening everyone. We have uploaded our presentation and financial results both on our website that is hdfcfund.com as well as on NSE's and BSE's website.

We will start with the quick overview of the industry and our company in particular then after we have Milind and Piyush who would take questions.

In terms of industry, the mutual fund industry AUM witnessed a growth of 11.2% for the one-year period ended September 2019 while equity oriented AUM grew by 12.7% during the same period. The total AUM as on September 30, 2019 was Rs.24.5 trillion while that of equity oriented fund was Rs.10.4 trillion. Equity oriented funds saw net new flows of Rs.238 billion during the quarter. Individual investors now account for 54% of the AUM. The industry witnessed SIP flows of Rs.83 billion for the month of September of 2019.

Now we move to us. Our AUM grew by 25% as against industry's growth of 11.2%. This resulted in an increased market share of 14.9% as against 13.3% in September of 2018. Our total AUM as at end of September 2019 stood at Rs.3.66 trillion split in the ratio of 45:55 for equity to non-equity AUM.

We have retained our position of being manager to the largest mutual fund in India. We also continue to maintain our leadership position in actively managed equity oriented AUM. Our market share in actively managed equity oriented AUM is now at 15.8%.

Our live individual account count as of September 30, 2019 is Rs.9.39 million a growth of 9% over that of September 2018. Individual investors account for 57.4% of our AUM as against 54.1% for the industry. We continue to be the most preferred choice of individual investors with a market share of 15.6% in individual AUM. Over 5.5 million unique



customers identified by their income tax permanent account number have reposed their faith in us. The number of unique customers for the industry is 20 million. This would mean that well over one out of every four mutual fund investor has invested with us. Our market share in B30 AUM is 12.5%, which is second highest in the industry only after SBI Mutual Fund. We now have 137 branches in B30 locations out of the total of 213. Our systematic transaction flows for the month of September 2019 was Rs.12.8 billion across 3.47 million transactions.

We now move to financials. The company has elected to exercise the option of a lower tax rate provided under section 115BAA of the Income Tax Act 1961. As introduced by the taxation laws ordinance 2019 dated September 20, 2019. Accordingly the company has recognized provision for income tax for the half year ended September 30, 2019 and remeasured its deferred tax assets, basis the rate provided in the said section.

The full impact of above-mentioned change amounting to approximately Rs.667 million has been recognized in the result for the half year ended September 30, 2019 out of which approximately Rs.343 million pertains to the quarter ended June 30, 2019.

As at September 30, 2019, the company holds certain non-convertible debentures (NCDs) that are secured by pledge of listed equity shares. Since these NCDs are classified as financial assets at fair value through profit and loss, any changes in the fair value is reflected as part of Other Income. The unrealized loss recognized in the results for the half year ended September 30, 2019 on the said NCDs is Rs.249.7 million.

In terms of the financial highlights for the quarter ended September 30, 2019 the operating profit of the company for the quarter ended September 30, 2019 was Rs.3765 million as compared to Rs.2623 million for the quarter ended September 2018. This is an increase of 44%.

Profit before tax for the quarter ended September 30, 2019 was also up by 44% to Rs.4275 million as compared to Rs.2972 million for the quarter ended September 30, 2018.

Profit after tax for the quarter ended September 30, 2019 was Rs.3683 million as compared to Rs.2059 million for the quarter ended September 30, 2018 resulting in an increase of 79%.

Now for the half year ended September 30, 2019, the operating profit for the half year ended September 30, 2019 was Rs.7580 million as compared to Rs.5271 million for half year ended September 2018. This is an increase of 44%.



Profit before tax for September 2019 was up by 45% to Rs.8574 million as compared to Rs.5919 million for half year ended September 2018.

Profit after tax for the half year ended September 30, 2019 was Rs.6600 million as compared to Rs.4112 million for the half year ended September 30, 2018 resulting in an increase of 61%.

Our operating profit margin as a percentage of average AUM for the half year ending September 30, 2019 is 41 basis points as against 34 basis points for half year ending September 30, 2018.

Thank you very much for a patient hearing. We now can open up for questions. We have both Milind and Piyush here to take questions. Raymond, if you can open the call and kind of start queuing up the questions.

Moderator:

Thank you very much. We will now begin with the question and answer session. Ladies and gentlemen, we will wait for a moment while the question queue assembles. The first question is from the line of Saurabh Kumar from JP Morgan. Please go ahead.

Saurabh Kumar:

Sir just two questions are from my side. What is the principal outstanding on the NCD that is one and what is the price at which it is mark down and the second is essentially now that your tax rate has gone down would you reconsider passing on some of the gains you are getting on your net income basis in terms of improving market development or essentially passing it on to your distributors because obviously they have seen a very sharp compression... just in terms of expanding the market? Will you still continue to target a 42 basis points sort of margin or can we expect that part of this gets passed on? Thank you Sir.

Milind Barve:

Thanks for the question Saurabh. On your first question the amount of outstanding on the NCDs that we hold after the mark down that we have taken in this quarter is Rs.275 Crores approximately, so Rs.2.75 billion. The second question you asked was about the clear benefit that we have received on account of the reduction of the effect tax rate, I do not think there is either an expectation or any kind of a discussion of this benefit being passed on in any form by way of increasing the payout, so we will want to retain this benefit for our shareholders.

Saurabh Kumar:

Even at the industry level there is no discussion around?

Milind Barve:

I do not think in the industry there is any discussion and it is unlikely because there are other taxes. There is the service tax or GST. There are other things that we deal at an AMC



level that we have cost increases on, and I do not think the profit and loss account of an asset management company is something that is deemed as having to be shared, because there are times when cost to an asset management company would have gone up. So the linkage of an asset management company profit and loss account issues are not there with the payout to Commission of Distributors. For example, there is considerable amount of spend on technology so now it does not mean that we will have to reduce commissions in any form. I think it will be fair, to answer your question, that there is no such discussion at the industry level either.

Saurabh Kumar:

Sir just one additional question if I may. Your direct share has gone up fairly substantially even quarter-on-quarter and year-on-year so just to help my understanding does the direct share going up is anyway beneficial to you from a margin perspective or no?

Milind Barve:

That is a good question. If you ask me we are seeing a clear trend that more and more of retail investors particularly in equity products are shifting into the direct plan and they are opting to use the lower cost direct plans, and I think we are seeing that as a meaningful change if I were to compare the share of direct in the gross flows in this last half year as compared to the corresponding period in the last year. So for us the flows which are coming in directly are somewhere close to 24% of the gross flows in our retail equity products. Although as far as the AUM as you know we have a concept of a book and then there is a concept of a flow. On the book which is still about 18%, it may be 17.9%, but in terms of the flows it is as high as 24%. So that seems to be an industry trend. We seem to be slightly higher beneficiaries than what the industry is in terms of attracting flows directly to our products from retail customers. In terms of initial periods, the margin on direct business is slightly higher because the differential of the pricing is computed on the book and not on the flow level.

Saurabh Kumar:

That is clear. Thank you Sir. Thank you.

Moderator:

Thank you. The next question is from the line of Madhukar Laddha from HDFC Securities. Please go ahead.

Madhukar Laddha:

Thank you for taking my question. A couple of questions one on the exposure to the NCDs that is at about Rs.2.75 billion after the markdown what is the equity cover that we have on this as of now?

Milind Barve:

As of now the promoters of the Essel Group are still saying that they will continue to honor their commitments and at this stage it will be very difficult to make any reasonable assessment about what the real repayment would be.



Madhukar Laddha: But what will be the cover at the CMP?

Simal Kanuga: We have not disclosed that.

Madhukar Laddha: Are we accruing interest from this investment?

Piyush Surana: The NCDs are of two types - one is the ZCBs and one is the NCDs so according to the

accounting regs we do need to accrue interest on the NCDs, but on the ZCBs we do not

accrue.

Madhukar Laddha: Understood. I believe the same would hold true for the investments and the schemes, which

are still holding the same paper?

Piyush Surana: Schemes is a separate thing altogether and I do not think we should talk about that on this

call.

Milind Barve: I think we will restrict the subject on this call to what is relevant to the asset management

company, but there are guidelines on how the exposure such as these have to be valued by

AMFI, and we are basically following that.

Madhukar Laddha: Understood. You mentioned that there is no talk of passing on the benefit to distributors,

On the institutional side are your institutional clients expecting lower TERs on the overnight and liquid funds because of lower tax rate for you or is there anything happening

out there?

Milind Barve: None at all as I said earlier. I do not think customers get into the profit and loss issues or

considerations at the AMC level. As long as our TERs are competitive with what our peers

in the industry are offering, I think we are good enough for that.

Madhukar Laddha: Understood. That is it from my side. All the best.

Moderator: Thank you. The next question is from the line of Rohan Adwant from Multi Act. Please go

ahead.

Rohan Adwant: Thanks for the opportunity. Sir my first question is on our equity AUM market share, which

has declined QoQ from 16.2% to 15.8% so is this something to do with the TER change or any other reason or it is too insignificant to read anything into? My second question is our other income for the quarter was Rs.51 Crores and I presume this is after the impact of Rs.25 Crores for NCDs so this looks very high on a YoY basis compared to Rs.35 Crores

same quarter last year, so if you could explain the reason for that? Sir lastly on the national $\[$



distributor channel we seem to have lost some share or contribution there so is there anything to be read into it? Thank you Sir.

Milind Barve:

I will take your questions one by one. On your first question, if you look at it in our earlier commentary, we had said that I think we will try and maintain our market share in actively managed equity AUM at somewhere around 16%. I think we are at about 15.9% or so 15.8%. We have to keep in mind two things. The last quarter was a particularly tough quarter for the industry in terms of equity market behavior. One of the things that have to be kept in mind is that at an industry level the midcap and small cap category in the equity AUM is about 11%. For us the midcap and the small cap category of funds in our suite of product is almost about 19%. As you are aware, the midcap and small cap indices have significantly underperformed the larger Nifty and the Sensex, and therefore naturally in terms of valuation the impact on our AUM book because of running 20% or 19% of our funds in small and midcap has been slightly higher than what it has been for the industry, so that is the reason. I would not read too much into a 0.1 to 0.2 basis points change in the market share. I believe we will continue to get very healthy market share in the new flows that we have got in the current year as part of the industry. Your second question was on other income gone up. I will give you just two quick headline points on that and my CFO can add to it. Basically one has to understand that our total surplus that was in our AUM book in the investment book of the AMC has gone up by almost 33%, so fundamentally we were handling almost Rs.740 Crores more on an average during this half year period as compared to the previous half and we also were able to increase the yield on our book by about 0.6. Some of it is because we had higher accruals from the investment in the debentures of the Zee Group where the accruals were slightly at a higher rate. These are the main points. Basically our AUM of the AMC was higher by almost 33% year-on-year. And to your last question yes, the number that you see is after the reduction of about Rs.25 Crores, which is the mark down we have taken on the Zee exposure.

Rohan Adwant:

Sir on the national distributor channel if you could comment on that?

Simal Kanuga:

The national distributor if you look at it the share in national distributors has not gone down. It is basically their share in our overall AUM shrunk a bit for a couple of reasons. There have been a couple of large national distributors and wealth managers who have moved into a RIA kind of a model and thereby where they go and sell direct plans, so that has been a movement of assets from this category into the direct category.

Rohan Adwant:

Got it.

Rohan Adwant:

But our market shares are constant in that space. Thank you. That is all from my side.



Moderator: Thank you. The next question is from the line of Arnab Nandi from Ox Bow Capital. Please

go ahead.

Arnab Nandi: Thanks for this. I just wanted to reconcile in one of the slides you show that you have 20%

market share in unique customers, and then the other data point that is you have about 14% almost 15% market share in actively managed equity AUM, so what is causing over representation or high representation in terms of having share in unique customers versus that translating into how much market share you have in actively managed AUM on the

retail side?

Milind Barve: Thanks for the question. If you see our share in the unique customer count is about 28%.

We have about 55 lakh or 5.5 million unique customers, as measured by unique tax numbers, out of almost 20 million unique customers in the industry. So as we have said on the call a while back, one out of every four investor is a customer of ours from whoever is an investor in the industry. The fact also has to be seen alongside, as we have said, that we have the number one market share among the retail AUMs in the industry, and that is about 15.6%, which we have shown on page nine of our presentation. Basically we are a very large scale player, highly focused on the retail business where we are the number one position of the share of the retail wallet amongst all retail investors in the country and that is represented by share of retail AUM. It is represented by share of unique customers in the

industry.

Arnab Nandi: Thanks.

Moderator: Thank you. The next question is from the line of Omkar Surkane who is an Individual

Investor. Please go ahead.

Omkar Surkane: My question was regarding your AUM is up by 25% the revenue growth is hardly 5% to

6% for the half year and the question is till what extent you can manage or control your expenses because there is a limit to that because unless and until your revenue growth does not show up, there will be limit to which you can control your expenses so that it will directly flow into the bottomline and this fees and commission are already in negligible so

where delta would come from?

Milind Barve: The main reason why you see a little over 5% growth in the topline one should not read

too much into it because you asked me the topline is a function of your product mix. If you compare our AUM over the previous periods AUM for the six monthly period of September 2019 over September 2018, it has gone up by about 20% or 25% on a point to point basis,

on average it has gone up by 20%. But there has been a little shift in the product mix. The

product mix in the September 2018 half year period had higher share of equity. It was



almost 50% while that has now come down to about 45% and corresponding the share of liquid funds was significantly lower while that has gone up now in the half yearly period of September 2019. So what has happened the comparatively lower margin product has gone up and the higher margin product share in our AUM has some down a bit. It has come down from say 51 to 45 and that is the result why the topline has grown by 5 to 6%.

Omkar Surkane:

Regarding that you expect that trend to continue? That is dependent on the equity market obviously, but what is your?

Milind Barve:

It will depend on the mix. I was just going to complete the answer. Also there are certain expenses, which in the past were borne as expenses in the AMC. Now all schemes related expenses as per the new SEBI mandate are to be borne by the schemes and therefore the contribution that you get as revenue from the scheme comes down and there is a corresponding benefit in the cost of the AMC P&L.

Omkar Surkane:

In terms of expenses of the commission and fees what is the trend you are expecting because it is hardly almost negligible now for this quarter?

Piyush Surana:

That is what he was trying to explain that the commissions now get charged in the fund. That is why the revenue is lower. And what you are seeing in the expense side on fees & commissions is basically the amortization cycle of the upfront brokerages running out.

Milind Barve:

Let me add to what Piyush is saying - that effective from October 2018, upfront commissions are now not allowed by the regulator. Upfront commissions were earlier paid and were amortized over a 12 month period in the profit and loss account of the AMC. That one year period will run out or has run out by October 20th or so, so that is a permanent benefit of saving on commissions cost because upfront commissions are simply not paid.

Omkar Surkane:

It can only be reflected at the end of the year?

Piyush Surana:

No. It is not going to be reflected at the end of the year. The upfront commissions have gone away so there is no cost coming into the AMC on that account. With that said, the upfronts were replaced by a slightly higher trail, which is charged over three years and because that is charged in the fund's books, it leads to a lower revenue; which also relates to the first question you had about lower revenue.

Omkar Surkane:

Thank you.

Moderator:

Thank you. The next question is from the line of Aniruddhaa Agarwal from AAA Investment. Please go ahead.



Aniruddhaa Agarwal:

Thanks for the opportunity. My question was slightly longer term so over the next five years say how do you see the growth being because mutual fund industry as a percentage of bank deposits is still very small in India if you compare it other countries so how do we see that moving and which geographies, which customer segments and what distribution channels do you really see driving it?

Milind Barve:

Interesting question. If you ask me over the next few years we see huge opportunities from mutual fund products. Let us put this way. Let me say mutual fund as compared to the size of the bank deposits is very small. If you look at mutual funds as a measure versus the size of our GDP we are barely at about 11% as compared to many of the emerging markets where the mutual fund industry share is much higher. If you look at equity oriented funds, all equity oriented funds put together is barely 5.5% or 6% of India's market caps so the equity business and the mutual fund penetration business affords tremendous opportunity for improving the penetration to people who do not have a mutual fund product. And the interesting thing is that for more and more people, as you can see from the growth in the SIP book for the industry as well as for us, acceptability of mutual fund products is increasing so as the share of mutual funds versus, I do not have the numbers in front of me, but the share of mutual fund industry versus the level of banking deposits is steadily increasing because mutual funds have been growing at a rate higher than the growth rate of the bank deposits, so in that sense given the under penetration of mutual fund products we see a very huge opportunity for the industry to grow.

Aniruddhaa Agarwal:

Right and in terms of distribution channels do you think there is really going to be led by online and direct plans or will financial advisors and distributors still have a major role to play say over the next few years?

Milind Barve:

I would still feel that given the profile of the investing retail audience that we cater to, there will be still a prominent role for our distributors or advisors by whatever name they are called because I think retail investors do need advise in terms of which product to buy, what asset allocation to follow, which product to choose and I think the distributors or advisors play a very pivotal role in hand holding the customers. The customers even after sale require periodic updates and information about markets, about performance, about comparative performance and so on, which is provided very well by the distribution community, so I do still believe that the distributor or advisor community will be leading the growth and penetration of this industry in the future. While that is happening one has to acknowledge that there seems to be a trend of the direct investments buying into mutual funds increasing for the industry and we are one of them where we see us witnessing the share of direct investing going up as I mentioned earlier in the current year as compared to the last two or three years. As you are aware that the total expense ratio that is charged on



direct plans are lower and therefore people are beginning to see the advantage of a lower cost in a direct plan. So in the long run I still feel and if you look at our equity AUM book as I mentioned earlier we still have direct plan, which is growing, but it is close to 20% so the rest of the approximately 80% is still coming through distributors. While that share has come down I still feel distributors will pay a very pivotal role in penetrating the market with our products.

Aniruddhaa Agarwal:

That is great and one question - we have seen a great rise of passive investing and ETFs across the world, but in India it has still not taken off so much like any thoughts on that from an Indian perspective?

Milind Barve:

The passive investing has grown fundamentally because active fund managers have not been able to beat the benchmarks over very long periods of time. While in the Indian context if you look at performance of the industry versus the benchmark particularly over long period of time, which is five years, seven years or longer periods there has been reasonable amount of outperformance or alpha generated by Indian active fund managers, and this is net of expenses. So the case for passive funds to be considered as more attractive does not exist, number one. Number two, today if you were to buy a passive fund you can only buy a product which is either Nifty or Sensex based. You cannot buy passive funds, today there are no ETFs on small or midcap funds. The impact cost of those funds would be very high. And the third is that the Indian market is essentially a market, which is very underpenetrated, so there will be a role for distributors to go and sell products. In the product process or in the product economics if there is no margin for a distributor that product will not reach the distributors. We have seen examples of various products, which are priced low, but are not able to reach penetration because the distributor does not carry the product to the customer, but more than that I would say as along as active fund managers are generating outperformance over long periods of time over five year investment period or more the case for retail investor about investing in passive funds will not come to India.

Aniruddhaa Agarwal:

That is great and final question was all understand that the opportunities are great for us to keep growing over a long period of time, but what do you see as a major impediments or challenges to actually achieving this?

Milind Barve:

Well, as we know we see very strong opportunity for improving the penetration and increasing the pie first for the industry and then within that our share. With that said we have recognize the fact that we basically need to continue to focus on increasing the investor awareness about mutual fund products and educating them about the nuances of various fund products and how they are suitable, the tax benefits, and the various types of products. Now that does take a lot of time and effort for people to understand products. So



as you know financial product literacy in India is reasonably low. People like to understand only simple products. It will take some period of time as more and more people get a positive experience about investing in a mutual fund we find that they do come back to mutual funds and invest more and more amounts. Basically we also have to recognize the fact like let us say in equity oriented funds in particular where funds will generate performance, which are consistent with what the market delivers. There could be periods where the markets do not deliver strong performance over a period of time, maybe short period of time during which time retail investor interest might become a little low and they will come back to the market once they see the returns coming back to the market. There will be some cyclicality to the flows in the industry and sentiment changes for equity as an asset class so as an industry that is something that we have to constantly be aware of and hence be prepared to deal with it. And one of the things that we have done, which has in many ways as helped us to deal with changes in investor sentiment is building a very strong SIP book. Now we have systematic investments in which have raised close to about Rs.1280 Crores in September so that is the level of monthly flows that we get predominantly into equity flows and this has been growing steadily so this becomes like the future order book that we have in our hand that this level of money will keep coming into our funds and more and more people are continuing to sign in new SIPs. People are signing also for SIPs for more than five years and more than 10 years so the persistency of investors in SIP is improving. As we speak and as we have shown in one of our slides that more than 67% of our investors are signing SIPs for more than 10 years and more than 79% are signing for more than five years, so I think this is the evolution that is happening in the market place and we will see this better acceptance of mutual fund products in the future.

Aniruddhaa Agarwal:

Right and even after the poor market performance especially in the mid and small cap category we would not have seen any major withdrawals or stoppage of SIPs. We really have not seen anything like that?

Milind Barve:

When we look at the data although we are not publishing the shares of sales, one thing I need to say that for HDFC Mutual Fund across various products we have got a reasonably good share in the gross flows, but we have a meaningfully lower share in the redemptions. That is why our market share in the net flows is very healthy. Essentially what we are saying is better persistency of investors in our funds as compared to the industry.

Aniruddhaa Agarwal: Right. That is

Right. That is great. Thank you so much. That was really helpful.

Moderator:

Thank you. The next question is from the line of Manish Shukla from Citigroup. Please go ahead.



Manish Shukla: Good evening and thank you for the opportunity. Unless we see a very adverse AUM mix

shift are we comfortable with the operating profit AUM at about 40 basis points?

Milind Barve: I think so. I think let us put it this way. It is not that the equity AUM has de-grown. The

equity AUM has been fairly stable and our market share, as I mentioned, was 15.8% as compared to 16%, so there was not any material change in our market share. The fact is that we actually gained significant market share in liquid fund category, because liquid fund grew bigger. That is why the equity AUM as a percentage of the total is looking slightly lower. I would not be very concerned if that product mix changes, in this kind of a

change in the product mix.

Piyush Surana: Manish, but you know, pegging it down to an exact number is not something that we want

to do for the future, so a ratio between the range of like 38 to 39, 40, 41 around those

ranges.

Manish Shukla: Sure now in terms of profitability tax rate cut obviously helps and even some sense have

problem, any thoughts around the payout ratio there?

Milind Barve: I think that is something that our board would have to consider when they discuss the

payout or dividend strategy in the future. As we have mentioned, we have had in the past

a steadily increasing dividend payout ratio.

Manish Shukla: Of the equity AUM distribution mix, direct is roughly 20%? Of this approximately how

much would be RIA kind of relationships?

Simal Kanuga: Manish, we do not have that breakup.

Manish Shukla: Lastly is there a new date of standstill as such or it is just?

Milind Barve: It is not really a standstill. In the exposure that we have taken in the AMC, we have

extended the maturity by three months period. We are just giving it a little more time to

resolve.. That is all that we have done so far.

Manish Shukla: That is helpful. Thank you.

Moderator: Thank you very much. We will take that as the last question. I would now like to hand the

conference back to the management team for closing comments.



Milind Barve: Just like to end by saying thank you to all our audience for being on the call and for their

patience and just sign off by wishing all my friends a very happy Diwali and season's

greetings from all of us from the management team. Thank you.

Moderator: Thank you very much. On behalf of Motilal Oswal Financial Services Limited that

concludes this conference. Thank you for joining us ladies and gentleman. You may now

disconnect your lines.